

June 5, 2017

Mr. Tim Morgan c/o Vista Tassajara HOA 563 Leisure Street Livermore, CA 94551

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Report for Hillview Drive Slope Repair Vista Tassajara, Danville, California SFB Project No.: 768-1

Mr. Morgan:

In accordance with the request of the Vista Tassajara Homeowners Association, Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc. (SFB) has performed a geotechnical investigation and prepared plans and specifications for the repair of a portion of the hillside located above the Hillview Drive cul-de-sac in Danville, California, as shown on the attached Site Plan and Engineering Geology Map, **Figure 1**. This report presents the results of our field investigation, laboratory tests, and slope stability analyses of the proposed slope repair plans. The purpose of this report is to support the Grading and Drainage Plans prepared by SFB for the repair of the hillslope.

It is our understanding that a landslide occurred on the hillside above the southern terminus (culde-sac) of Hillside Drive during the winter of 2016/2017. As shown on the attached **Figure 1**, the landslide exists within open space land managed by the Vista Tassajara HOA. The toe of the landslide is located at the base of the slope and has negatively impacted the private lot and residence at 95 Hillview Drive, and has the potential for negatively impacting the private lot and residence at 92 Hillview Drive and Hillview Drive itself. The landslide has detrimentally damaged surface drainage (and possibly subsurface drainage) facilities on the slope. The damaged hillside requires repair otherwise additional landslide movement will occur in the future that can affect private lots, Hillview Drive, and non-subdivision property located to the east.

1.0 Previous Grading During Original Subdivision Development

As part of our work, we reviewed the following available documents and plans related to the original Vista Tassajara Subdivision 6736 development:

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc.

- *Geotechnical Investigation Report*, prepared by Berlogar Geotechnical Consultants (BGC) and dated 2/8/88;
- Grading Plans, prepared by PRW and Associates and dated 2/17/88;
- As-Built Subdrain Location Plan, prepared by BGC and dated 10/12/88; and
- Soil Engineering Services During Mass Grading, a report prepared by BGC and dated 11/8/88.

It is our understanding the original mass grading of the subdivision was performed in June through September 1988. The landslide site and vicinity are located within a previously mapped landslide area (Slide 23) that, according to BGC, extended to depths of about 25 to 45 feet below original grades as shown on Plate 4 (Section A) of BGC's subdivision geotechnical investigation report. According to BGC, most of Slide 23 was removed during mass grading except for specific upslope portions that extended beyond the subdivision boundary that were left in place but buttressed with engineered fill. According to previous BGC field compaction test results at the Slide 23 area, fills (that were placed after the landslide was removed) were placed at optimum moisture content or higher and compacted to not less than 90 percent relative compaction per ASTM D1557. Subdrains were reportedly installed in the landslide repair area. The subdrain locations (but not elevations) are recorded on an as-built subdrain location plan prepared by BGC.

2.0 Existing Surface and Subsurface Conditions

SFB performed reconnaissance and geologic mapping of the site and surrounding area on February 22, April 5 and 19, and May 2, 2017. In addition, a topographic survey of the area was performed by Meridian Associates, Inc., in April 2017. At the time of our investigation, several landslides were observed at the site and encompassed an area of about 380 feet wide and 220 feet long in lateral extent (as shown on **Figure 1**). The deeper landslides generally exhibited rotational movement. Head scarps of about 5 to 15 feet high were located at the southeastern boundary of the landslides. Slide debris up to about 5 to 10 feet in thickness had accumulated at the base of the hillslope. The bottoms of the landslides were estimated to be at depths of about 15 to 25 feet below existing ground surface. Some of the soil debris had been removed and stockpiled in adjacent areas.

Subsurface exploration was performed by SFB using a track-mounted drill rig equipped with 6inch diameter, continuous flight, solid stem auger. On April 19, 2017, three exploratory borings were drilled to depths of about 26-1/2 to 36 feet below existing ground surface. Soil samples were retrieved from the borings for geological and engineering evaluations and laboratory testing. Prior to the site development, four exploratory borings (B-8 through B-10) were

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc.

Hillview Drive Slope Repair, 768-1.001 June 5, 2017

previously performed by BGC in December 1987 to depths of about 27-1/2 feet to 57 feet at the landslide site and vicinity.

The approximate locations of SFB's borings and the previous borings by BGC are shown on **Figure 1**. The logs of SFB's borings and details regarding SFB's field investigation are included in **Appendix A**. The results of SFB's laboratory tests are discussed in **Appendix B**. Logs of the previous boring by BGC are provided in **Appendix C** for reference.

It should be noted that changes in the surface and subsurface conditions can occur over time as a result of either natural processes or human activity and may affect the validity of the conclusions and recommendations in this report. Our attached boring logs and related information depict location specific subsurface conditions encountered during our field investigation. The approximate locations of our borings were determined by using the results of the topographic survey and landmark references and should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.

Boring SFB-1, located in the central portion of the western slide, generally encountered stiff to very stiff clayey fill materials to a depth of about 35 feet where siltstone bedrock was encountered. It is estimated that the landslide extended to a depth of about 22 feet in this area (an elevation of about 767 feet). Groundwater was encountered on the surface of the bedrock. The boring extended to a depth of about 36 feet.

Boring SFB-2, located at the base of the western slide, encountered soft and saturated landslide debris to a depth of about 7 feet. Water was seeping in the boring at this depth. Below the landslide debris, stiff clayey fill materials were encountered to the maximum depth explored in this boring of 26-1/2 feet.

Boring SFB-3, located between the eastern and western slides, encountered firm and wet clayey fills within the upper 3 feet of the boring, and very stiff to hard clayey fills to the maximum depth explored in this boring of 26-1/2 feet. Water was seeping into the boring within the upper 6 feet.

Based on the results of our laboratory testing, the clayey fills have a high to very high plasticity and high to critical expansion potential. The laboratory testing on retrieved fill samples also indicate the in-situ fills not located within the landslide deposit area have moisture contents varying from 20 to 30 percent at the time of our sample retrieval. The dry densities of the retrieved in-situ fill soil samples generally ranged from 91 to 107 pounds per cubic foot (pcf), with an average of about 97 pcf. We performed two laboratory compaction curves on samples of the in-situ fill soils which resulted in a maximum dry density ranging from 113 to 114 pcf (per ASTM D1557) at optimum moisture contents of 14 to 16 percent. Within the landslide deposit area (the area where the landslide debris extended up and over the previously existing ground surface) located in the lower reaches of the slope, our laboratory testing indicates that the weak landslide debris was saturated at the time of our investigation (water contents ranging from 39 to 40 percent) with dry densities ranging from 77 to 81 pcf.

The attached Cross-Sections A-A' and B-B' (attached as **Figures 2 and 3**) show our interpretations of the estimated possible landslide planes and associated subsurface conditions based on the results of our field explorations and mapping. The locations of the sections are shown on **Figure 1**.

3.0 Engineering Properties of Subsurface Materials

Engineering properties of the proposed engineered fills ("Rebuilt Fill"), existing clayey fills to remain beyond the upper and lower limits of the repair area ("Existing Fill"), and siltstone and claystone rock ("Bedrock") were derived from the field and laboratory testing results and typical engineering correlations.

To evaluate the long-term strength of the existing fill materials and the proposed engineered fill, onsite fill material samples were retrieved and remolded to an approximate dry density of about 98 pcf, similar to the average dry density properties of the existing fill materials within the hillslope, and approximately 5 percent above optimum moisture content as determined by ASTM Method D1557 for laboratory consolidated drained direct shear testing (ASTM D3080). The remolded direct shear test results indicate the "Existing Fill" has an effective cohesion of 250 psf (pounds per square foot) and an effective friction angle of 26 degrees. For comparison, drained fully softened peak (ASTM D7608) torsional shear testing was also performed on remolded fill material samples. The torsional shear test results indicate the onsite fill materials have fully softened peak internal friction angles ranging from about 21 to 25 degrees. According to Stark et al. (2005)¹, the drained fully softened shear strength condition corresponds to the condition where the clayey fill has absorbed as much water as possible, has reached equilibrium at the site, and has not undergone shearing (landsliding) in the past.

As part of our shear strength assessment, we performed a back-calculation along the failure surface to determine the internal angle of friction at the time of failure. Details regarding the back-calculation are provided in Section 4.0 below. Based on the results of the shear strength laboratory testing and the back-calculation results, it is our opinion that assigning a friction angle of 26 degrees to the "Existing Fill" materials is appropriate. It is also our opinion that assigning

¹Stark, Choi & McCone, *Drained Shear Strength Parameters for Analysis of Landslides*, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering (ASCE), Vol. 131, No. 5, May 1, 2005.

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc. *Hillview Drive Slope Repair, 768-1.001*

June 5, 2017

a friction angle of 26 degrees to the "Rebuilt Fill" for long-term strength is appropriate and also conservative since the proposed hillside repair will include substantial surface and subsurface drainage.

The laboratory testing results are attached as **Appendix B** for reference. The table below summarizes the soil and rock engineering properties used in our analyses.

Material	Unit Weight	Static & Ps (i.e., Ear Cond	eudo-Static thquake) itions
	(per)	Cohesion (psf)	Friction Angle (deg)
Rebuilt Fill	120	100	26
Existing Fill	120	100	26
Bedrock	125	100	30

4.0 Slope Stability Analyses of Existing Conditions and Proposed Slope Repair

SFB performed slope stability analyses using the two dimensional, limit equilibrium computer program, GSLOPE (Mitre Software, 1999). The procedures presented in the Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC) publication, *Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California*, were followed during our analyses. For the major earthquake loading condition, a seismic coefficient (k) of 0.25 was applied in our pseudo-static analyses for the purpose of screening. This coefficient was determined based on a design-basis maximum ground acceleration of 0.69g (per the 2016 USGS Unified Hazard Tool² using a 10% probability of being exceeded in a 50-year period; a 475-year return period with a stiff soil site condition), a causative magnitude 6.9 earthquake located at 11.9 kilometers away, and a threshold displacement of 15 centimeters (approximately 6 inches). As stated in the SCEC publication, the threshold displacements provide an index of slope performance. The 15 centimeters (6 inches) value distinguishes conditions in which small to moderate displacements are likely from conditions in which large displacements are likely.

The representative Cross-Section A - A' (Figure 2) was used in our slope stability analyses to back-calculate the frictional resistance at the time of hillslope failure along the three possible

²Dynamic: Conterminous U.S. 2014 v4.1.0; https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc.

Hillview Drive Slope Repair, 768-1.001 June 5, 2017

slide planes and to evaluate the possible repair schemes. An estimated groundwater level at 5 feet below existing ground surface was used for the existing fill layer. The hillside friction angle was back-calculated to achieve a factor of safety of 0.99 against sliding under static condition. Our back-calculations results indicate the existing hillslope fill materials along potential slide planes had a friction angle of about 25 to 26 degrees at the time of slope failure, which corresponds well with the remolded laboratory shear strength properties. This back-calculated frictional resistance also corresponds well with the upper bound, fully softened peak strength.

Our recommended slope repair which includes removal of the existing landslide debris, installation of subdrains, and keying and benching of proposed compacted, engineered fills is shown on the attached **Figures 4 and 5**. The representative Cross-Section a - a' (**Figure 5**) was used for our slope stability analyses of the proposed slope repair grading. The table below summarizes the most critical results of our slope stability analyses of the proposed slope repair grading. The table below repair grading along Section a - a' under both static and pseudo-static conditions. The cross-section profiles, soil and rock engineering properties used in the analyses, and the detailed results of the analyses are presented on the computer program printouts in the attached **Appendix D**.

Cross-Section a-a'	Factor of Safety	v against Sliding			
		Pseudo-Static			
	Static	(Earthquake			
		Loading $k = 0.25$)			
Proposed Slope Repair	1.87	0.06			
(No Groundwater)	1.07	0.90			
Proposed Slope Repair					
(Groundwater within Existing Fill	1.75	0.86			
but not within Rebuilt Fill)					

The results of our slope stability analyses indicate that the factor of safety against sliding under static conditions after the proposed slope repair is completed is greater than the generally acceptable value of 1.5 for the most critical potential slide plane. For the major earthquake loading condition, a factor of safety against sliding of 0.96 was calculated, which is very close to 1.0 (in order to pass screen criteria outlined in the SCEC publication) when applying the seismic coefficient of 0.25. Therefore, it is our opinion the proposed slope repair shown on the proposed repair plans is appropriate for the site.

The results of our slope stability analyses also show that a properly functioning new and existing surface drainage and subdrain system is critical to the global stability of the rebuilt slope and the existing slope below and adjacent the repair area. A non-functioning subdrain system will allow

the groundwater levels to rise within the hillslope and can lower the factor of safety below acceptable values, especially during seismic events. Also, during or immediately after heavy rainfall events, a non-functioning surface and/or subsurface drainage system at the site can cause hillslope failure.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

It is our opinion that the Hillview Drive landslide was caused by a combination of weakened fill materials, added water weight within the slope due to the rainfall during the winter of 2016/2017, and the lack of proper surface drainage (mid-slope drainage benches and ditches) and subsurface drainage (subdrains) within the area.

We recommend the conditions and outlets of the existing surface drainage and subdrain system be checked in the field and both the proposed new and existing surface drainage and subdrain systems be regularly maintained by the HOA. As is common for all hillside residential subdivisions, we recommend routine maintenance of the hillslope be performed, including maintenance prior to rainstorms. Maintenance should include the re-compaction of loosened soils, collapsing and infilling holes with compacted soils or low strength sand/cement grout, removal and control of digging animals, modifying storm water drainage patterns to allow for sheet flow into drainage inlets or ditches rather than concentrated flow or ponding, removal of debris within drainage ditches and inlets, and immediately repairing any erosion or soil flow. The maintenance should also include checking drainage patterns, making sure both surface and subsurface drainage systems are functional and not clogged, and erosion control measures are adequate for anticipated storm events. Maintenance and repair should be performed if any of these measures appears to be inadequate. Temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures should be installed over any exposed soils after repairs are made.

Our landslide repair recommendations are shown on the Hillview Drive slope repair plans, including our recommended locations for keyways, subgrade benches, subdrains, surface drainage ditches and pipes, and finished grades. The repair plans also provide our specifications for fill materials, fill placement, moisture conditioning, compaction, and placement of erosion/sediment control measures. Please refer to the Hillview Drive slope repair plans for more details.

We recommend SFB be retained by the HOA to provide consulting services during the hillslope repair project and to perform construction observation and testing services during the construction phase of the hillslope repair project to observe, test, and document the implementation of our recommendations and the plans and specifications. Our onsite work will allow us to provide supplemental or revised recommendations in the event subsurface conditions different than those described in this report are encountered and/or if there is a need to modify plans, specifications, or details shown on the repair plans. We are not responsible for misinterpretation of our recommendations or misinterpretation of the repair plans, specifications, and details. The long-term stability of the repair area is highly dependent upon the proper implementation of the Hillview Drive slope repair plans.

6.0 Conditions and Limitations

It is not uncommon for slope movements to occur within the site's rebuilt and existing fills, soils, and bedrock and the hillside region; the magnitude of such movements depend upon numerous factors including degree of slope maintenance, drainage, rainfall, irrigation, earthquake shaking, and changes to the topography. Therefore, the stability of the site and vicinity can change over time. It is beyond the purpose of this report and the Hillview drive slope repair plans and specifications (and SFB's scope of work) to address the stability of areas beyond the Hillview drive slope repair limits.

SFB is not responsible for the validity or accuracy of information, analyses, test results, or designs provided to SFB by others. The analysis, designs, opinions, and recommendations submitted in this report and the associated plans and specifications are based in part upon the data obtained from field work and upon information provided by others. Site exploration and testing characterizes subsurface conditions only at the locations where the explorations or tests are performed; actual subsurface conditions between explorations or tests may be different than those described in this report and/or shown on the slope repair plans. Variations of subsurface conditions from those analyzed or characterized in this report and shown on the slope repair plans are not uncommon and may become evident during construction. In addition, changes in the condition of the site can occur over time as a result of either natural processes (such as earthquakes or changes in groundwater levels) or human activity (such as construction adjacent to the site, modifying topography, dumping of fill, or excavating). If changes to the site's surface or subsurface conditions occur, or if differing subsurface conditions are encountered, we should be contacted immediately to evaluate the differing conditions to assess if the opinions, conclusions, and recommendations provided in this report and shown on the slope repair plans are still applicable or should be amended.

This report is a design document that has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geological and geotechnical engineering. It should be understood that advancements in the practice of geotechnical engineering and engineering geology, or discovery of differing surface or subsurface conditions, may affect the validity of this report and the slope repair plans and are not uncommon. SFB strives to perform its services in a proper and professional manner with reasonable care and competence. Geological engineering and geotechnical engineering are

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc.

Hillview Drive Slope Repair, 768-1.001 June 5, 2017

disciplines that are far less exact than other engineering disciplines; therefore we should be consulted if it is not completely understood what the limitations to using this report and the slope repair plans are.

In the event that there are any changes in the nature, design or location of the project, as described in this report, or if any future changes to the slope are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless we are contacted in writing, the project changes are reviewed by us, and the conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are modified or verified in writing.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call our office.

Sincerely,

Stevens, Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Company, Inc.

5 Ch

Taiming Chen, PE, GE *Civil/Geotechnical Engineer*



TC/KCF Copies: Addressee (1 by email) Attachments: Figures 1 through 5 Appendices A, B, C & D

Vun

Ken Ferrone, PE, GE, CEG Civil/Geotechnical Engineer Certified Engineering Geologist



FIGURES



Engineering Company, Inc

KEY



Approximate Location of SFB Exploratory Boring (4/19/17)



Approximate Location of Previous Berlogar Exploratory Boring (December 1987)



Approximate Current Landslide Boundary



Approximate Location of Cross-Section (See Figures 2 and 3 for the Sections)

GEOLOGIC UNIT

- Qls Landslide Deposit
- Artificial Fill Placed in 1988 Qaf



SITE PLAN AND ENGINEERING GEOLOGY MAP

HILLVIEW DRIVE SLOPE REPAIR Danville, California

FIGURE

1







FIGURE

4



APPENDIX A

Field Investigation

Hillview Drive Slope Repair, 768-1.001 June 5, 2017

APPENDIX A

Field Investigation

Our field investigation for the proposed Hillview Drive slope repair project in Danville, California, consisted of surface reconnaissance and a subsurface exploration program. Geotechnical reconnaissance and geologic mapping of the site and surrounding area on February 22, April 5 and 19, and May 2, 2017. Subsurface exploration was performed using a track-mounted drill rig equipped with 6-inch diameter, continuous flight, solid stem auger. On April 19, 2017, three exploratory borings were drilled to depths of about 26-1/2 to 36 feet below existing ground surface. The soils are described in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487). The logs of the borings as well as a key for the classification of the soil (Figure A-1) are included as part of this appendix.

Representative samples were obtained from our exploratory boring at selected depths appropriate to the investigation. Relatively undisturbed samples were obtained using a 3-inch O.D. split barrel sampler with liners, and disturbed samples were obtained using the 2-inch O.D. split spoon sampler. All samples were transmitted to our offices for evaluation and appropriate testing. Both sampler types are indicated in the "Sampler" column of the boring log as designated in Figure A-1. The elevations discussed in this report and shown on the boring logs in this appendix were obtained from the base map shown on Figure 1; datum unknown.

Resistance blow counts were obtained in our boring with the samplers by dropping a 140-pound safety hammer through a 30-inch free fall. The sampler was driven 18 inches and the number of blows were recorded for each 6 inches of penetration. The blows per foot recorded on the boring log represent the accumulated number of converted blows that were required to drive the last 12 inches, or the number of inches indicated where hard resistance was encountered. The blow counts recorded on the boring log have been converted to equivalent SPT field blowcounts, but have not been corrected for overburden, silt content, or other factors.

The attached boring log and related information show our interpretation of the subsurface conditions at the dates and locations indicated, and it is not warranted that they are representative of subsurface conditions at other locations and times.

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Major D	Divisions	grf	ltr		Desc	ription		Major	Divisions	grf	ltr		Descript	tion	
			GW	Well-grad mixtures,	ed gra little c	avelsorgrav ornofines	/el sand				ML	Inorganic si rock flour, s sands or cla	iltsand v siltyoro iyeysilts	very daye with	fine sands, y fine 1 slight
	Gravel		GΡ	Poorly-gra sand mixt	aded g ure, lit	ravelsor gr ttleornofin	avel nes		Silts And Clays		CL	plasticity I norganic c plasticity, g clays, silty c	lays of lo ravelly o days, lea	ow to ays n cla	o medium sandy lys
	Soils		GМ	Clayey gra	avels,	gravel-sand-si	-clay	-	LL < 50		OL	Organic silt of low plast	sand or icity	gani	c silt-clays
Coarse Grained Soils			GC SW	Well-grad sands, littl	ed sar e or n	nds or grave o fines	lly	Soils	Silts	Ī	мн	Inorganic si diatomaceo elastic silts	ilts, mica us fine o	r silt	isor ysoils,
	Sand And		SP	Poorly-gra sands, littl	aded s e or n	andsorgra ofines	velly	-	And Clays LL > 50		сн	Inorganic d fat clays	laysof h	igh p	olasticity,
	Sandy Soils		SM	Silty sand	s, sano	d-silt mixtur	es				он	plasticity Peat and ot	her hiah	lv or	aanic soils
			sc		iuo, u			Highly	oils	<u>/</u> <u>/</u>	РТ		ino. Ingri	.,	game conc
Sil ar Cla	20 Its Ind Ays	0	Fi	40 ne	S M	10 Sand edium) Co	ar se	l Fine	3 Gr	/4" avel	3 Coarse	Cobbl	12 es	2" Boulders
	REL	АТ	IVI		ТҮ	·				СС	ONS	SISTENC	Y		
Sand	Is and Gra	vels	;	Blo	ws∕Fo	oot*		Siltsa	nd Clays			Blows/Foot*	S	trenç	gth(tsf)**
١	/eryLoos Loose	e			0 - 4 4 - 10)		Ve	ry Soft Soft			0 - 2 2 - 4		0 1/4	- 1/4 4 - 1/2
M	edium Der	ıse			10 - 3 20 - 5	0		 	Firm Stiff			4 - 8 8 - 16		1.	/2 - 1 1 - 2
١	/ery Dens	e			Over {	50		Ve	ry Stiff Hard			16 - 32 Over 32		0	2-4 ver4
*Number o **Unconfin	fBlowsfora nedcompressi	140-p ve stro	ound l ength. SY	mammer falling	30 inch	es, driving a 2-in	nch O.D. (1-3/8" I.D.) sp	olit spoon samp	ler.		l nc M o	reasing	g V Cor	isual ntent
☐ Sta (2" ☐ (2" ☐ M o (3"	ndard Per OD Split dified Cal OD Split	hetra Barı iforı Barı	ation rel) nia s rel)	sampler ampler		Shelby Tub Pitcher Ba	be rrel						Satura We Moi Dan Dr	ated et ist np y	
Cal	ifornia Sa 5" OD Spli	mple it Ba	er arrelj)		HQ Core						Consti	tuent F	Per c	entage
∑ Gro ▼ Gro	ound Wate	erle erle	vel i vel a	nitially enc t end of dr	ounter illing	ed		PI = Pla LL = Li R = R-V	sticity Inde quid Limit alue	x		soi w	me ith 1 y 3	5-15 6-30 1-49	% % %
ntev	ens							KEY 1	Ο ΕΧΙ	PL	OR	ATOR	r BO	RI	NG LO
	rone	, 2 8	y X	1600 W Concord Tel: 925	illow P I, CA 9 -688-1	ass Court 94520 1001 1005			HILL	/IE	W Da	DRIVE L	ANDS A	LIC	DE
	ring Company. 1	nc.		гах. 92	J-000-	1003		PROJEC	T NO.			DATE			FIGURE N
Light								768	-1		J	une 2017	7		A-1

KEY 768-1.GPJ STEVENS FERRONE BAILEY.GDT 6/2/17

ROCK MASS CHARACTERISTICS

WEATHERING

FRESH - Rock fresh, crystals bright, few joints may show slight staining. Rock rings under hammer blows if crystalline.

VERY SLIGHT - Rock generally fresh, joints stained, some joints may show thin clay coatings, crystals in broken face show bright. Rings under hammer blows if crystalline.

SLIGHT - Rock generally fresh, joints stained, and discoloration extends into rock up to 1 inch. Joints may contain clay. In granitiod rocks, some occasional feldspar crystals are dull and discolored. Crystalline rock rings under hammer blows.

MODERATE - Significant portions of rock show discoloration and weathering effects. In granitiod rocks, most feldspars are dull and discolored; some show clayey. Rock has dull sound under hammer and shows significant loss of strength as compared with fresh rock.

MODERATELY SEVERE - All rock except quartz discolored or stained. In granitiod rocks, all feldspars dull and discolored and majority show kaolinization. Rock shows severe loss of strength and can be excavated with geologist's pick. Rock goes "clunk" when struck.

SEVERE - All rock except quartz discolored or stained. Rock "fabric" clear and evident, but reduced in strength to strong soil. In some granitiod rocks, all feldspars kaolinized to some extent. Some fragments of strong rock usually remain.

VERY SEVERE - All rock except quartz discolored or stained. Rock "fabric" discernible, but rock mass effectively reduced to "soil" with only fragments of strong rock remaining.

COMPLETE - Rock reduced to "soil." Rock "fabric" not discernible or discernible only in small scattered locations. Quartz may be present as dikes or stringers.

STRENGTH

VERY STRONG - Resists breakage from hammer blows; but will yield dust and small chips. STRONG - Withstands a few hammer blows; but will yield large fragments. MODERATELY STRONG - Withstands a few firm hammer blows. WEAK - Crumbles with light hammer blows. FRIABLE - Can be broken down with hand and finger pressure. LOW - Soil-like strength

DISCONTINUITY SPACING

JOINTS	BEDDING, CLEAVAGE, FOLIATIO	DN	
CRUSHED	Very Laminated	Less than 1/2 inch	Less than 1.3 cm
NTENSELY	Laminated	1/2 to 1 inch	1.3 cm to 2.5 cm
VERY CLOSE	Very Thin	1 to 2 inches	2.5 cm to 5 cm
CLOSE	Thin	2 inches to 1 foot	5 cm to 30 cm
MODERATELY CLC	DSE Medium	1 foot to 3 feet	30 cm to 1 m
NIDE	Thick	3 feet to 10 feet	1 m to 3 m
VERY WIDE	Very Thick	Greater than 10 feet	Greater than 3 m
	-		

HARDNESS

VERY HARD - Cannot be scratched with a knife; metal powder left on sample. HARD - Scratched with knife with difficulty; trace of metal powder left on samples; scratch faintly visible. MODERATELY HARD - Readily scratched with knife, scratch leaves heavy trace of dust and is readily visible. LOW HARDNESS - Gouged or grooved to 1/16 inch by firm pressure on knife; scratches with penny. SOFT - Gouged or grooved readily with a knife; small thin pieces can be grooved by finger pressure. VERY SOFT - Carves with knife; scratched by fingernail.

ROUGHNESS OF DISCONTINUITY SURFACES

SMOOTH - Appears smooth and is essentially smooth to the touch. May be slickensided. SLIGHTLY ROUGH - Asperities on the fracture are clearly visible. MEDIUM ROUGH - Asperities are clearly visible and fracture surface feels abrasive. ROUGH - Large angular asperities can be seen. Some ridge and high side angle steps are evident. VERY ROUGH - Near vertical steps and ridges occur on the fracture surface.

Atevens		KEY TO R	OCK CHARACI	TERISTICS
Ferrone &	1600 Willow Pass Court Concord, CA 94520 Tel: 925-688-1001 Fax: 925-688-1005	HILLY	/IEW DRIVE LAND Danville, CA	SLIDE
Engineering Company Inc		PROJECT NO.	DATE	FIGURE NO.
		768-1	June 2017	A-2

DRILL RIG TK80A CFA		SURFACE	ELEVATION	78	9 feet		L	OGGE	D BY	KF			
DEPTH TO GROUND WATER 35 feet	BORING D	IAMETER 6	-inc	h		DATE DRILLED 04/19/17							
DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICA	TION		EPTH EET) VATION	MPLER	SPT /ALUE	ATER TENT (%)	DENSITY PCF)	. COMP. KSF)		OTHER			
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	CONSI	ST SOIL TYPE		SAI	-Z	CON	DRY I (I			TESTS			
Landslide Debris: CLAY (CH), grayish brown, silty, some sand(fine- to coarse-grained), with occasional claystone pieces.	stiff stiff - ve stiff	яу	0 + + 785 5 + + 780 10 + + 775 15 + +	\mathbf{X}	12 17 17	29	95		At 6 Liqu Plas Silt Clay At 1 Liqu Plas Fine Silt	5': Jid Limit = 53 sticity Index = 36 Jium Sand = 1% = Sand = 10% = 28% y = 61% 6': Jid Limit = 65 sticity Index = 44 = Sand = 3% = 14%			
FILL: CLAY (CH), grayish brown, silty, some sand(fine- to coarse-grained), with occasional claystone pieces.	stiff				11				Cla	y = 83%			
	stiff - ve stiff	ary	+ 765 25 + + + + - 760	X	16	30	91	2.7					
	stiff		30 + + + + −755		13	29							
			EX	PL	OR/	١ТО	RY	BO	RIN	IG LOG			
Stevens, Ferrone & 1600 Willow Concord, CA Tel: 925-688	Pass Cou 94520 -1001	ırt	HILLVIEW D Dan						V DRIVE LANDSLIDE Danville, CA				
Balley Fax: 925-684	8-1005		PROJECT NO	Э.			DAT	E		BORING NO.			
Engineering Company, Inc.			768-1			Ju	ne 2	2017		SFB-1			

EXPLORATORY BORING LOG 768-1.GPJ STEVENS FERRONE BAILEY.GDT 6/2/17

DRILL RIG TK80A CFA	5	SURFACE	ELEVATION	78	9 feet		L	OGGE	D BY KI	F	
DEPTH TO GROUND WATER 35 feet	E	BORING D	IAMETER 6	6-inc	h		D	ATE D	RILLED	04/19/17	
DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICAT	ION		EPTH EET) /ATION	APLER	SPT ALUE	ATER ENT (%)	DENSITY PCF)	COMP. (SF)		OTHER	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	CONSIS	T SOIL	DE ELEY	SAN	s, -z	CONT	DRY D (F	UNC.		TESTS	
SILTSTONE grayish brown, moderately weathered.	friable		35	X	50/3" 50/6"	17	107				
Bottom of Boring = 35.8 feet Notes: Stratification is approximate, variations must be expected. Blowcounts converted to SPT N-values. See Report for additional details.			$ \begin{array}{c} $								
			-720								
Atevens		EXPLORATORY BORING LOG									
1600 Willow F Concord, CA Tel: 925-688- Fay: 925-688- Fay: 925-688-	Pass Cour 94520 1001 -1005	Ass Court HILLVIEW DRIVE LANDSLIDE 001 Danville, CA					DE				
Bally Fax. 923-000-	1000	PROJECT NO. DATE BORING NO.									
Linginoering Company, inc.			768-1			Ju	ne 2	2017		SFB-1	

DRILL RIG TK80A CFA		SURFACE	ELEVA	ATION	76	i8 feet		L	OGGE	D BY	KF
DEPTH TO GROUND WATER Not Established	d	BORING D	IAMET	ER 6	6-inc	h			DATE D	RILLE	ED 04/19/17
DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION				-EET) VATION	MPLER	SPT VALUE	ATER TENT (%)	DENSITY PCF)	COMP. KSF)		OTHER
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	CONSIS	ST SOIL TYPE			SA	''	CON	DRY)			TESTS
LANDSLIDE DEBRIS:CLAY (CH), grayish brown, silty, some sand(fine- to coarse-grained), with occasional claystone pieces.	soft		0 5	- - - 765 -		3		0.1			
Water seepage at 6'.			-	-	igtarrow	3	39	81			
FILL: CLAY (CH), grayish brown, silty, some sand(fine- to coarse-grained), with occasional claystone pieces.	stiff		- - 10 - - -	- - - - - - - 755	\times	13	28	94	2.7	At 1 Liqu Pla: Find Silt Cla	11': uid Limit = 59 sticity Index = 42 e Sand = 6% = 24% y = 70%
			15 - - -	- - - 750	X	14	26	96	2.3	At 2	21':
			20 - - 25	- - - 745 -	\times	10	28	94	2.9	Liqu Plas Find Silt Cla	uid Limit = 55 sticity Index = 37 e Sand = 7% = 23% y = 70%
Bottom of Boring = 26.5 feet Notes: Stratification is approximate, variations must be expected. Blowcounts converted to SPT N-values. See Report for additional details.			- - - 30 - - -	- - 740 - - - - 735 -					2.0		
Atoxions				EX	PL	OR/	ATO	RY	' BO	RIN	NG LOG
Ferrone & 1600 Willow Concord, CA Tel: 925-688 Fax: 925-688	Pass Cou 94520 -1001 8-1005	rt		ŀ	-11L	LVIE	W D Dar	RIV	/E LA le, C/	ND: A	SLIDE
Bancy Engineering Company, Inc.			PROJ	ECT N	0.			DAT	ΓE		BORING NO.
			76	68-1			Ju	ne ź	2017		SFB-2

DRILL RIG TK80A CFA		SURFACE	ELEVA	TION	76	5 feet		L	OGGE	D BY	KF
DEPTH TO GROUND WATER Not Established	b	BORING D	IAMET	ER 6	6-inc	h		D	ATE D	RILLE	D 04/19/17
DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICA	TION		EPTH	VATION	MPLER	SPT VALUE	ATER TENT (%)	DENSITY PCF)	. COMP. KSF)		OTHER
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	CONSIS	ST SOIL TYPE			SAI	ν-Ν	CON ⁻))			TESTS
FILL: CLAY (CH), grayish brown, silty, some sand(fine- to coarse-grained), with occasional claystone pieces.	firm very sti	iff	0 - - 5-	- 765 - - - - 760	\times	4	40	77	3.5	At 6 Liqu Plas	': iid Limit = 58 sticity Index = 33
Water seepage at 6'.	hard		- - - 10 - -	- - - - 755 - -	\propto	26	24	102	4.0	Fine Silt Clay	≥ Sand = 2% = 16% / = 82%
			- 15 - - 20	- - 750 - - - - - - - - - 745		50/5"	21	107	4.2	At 1 Liqu Plas Silt Clay	6': iid Limit = 53 sticity Index = 27 = 21% y = 79%
			- - - 25 -	- - - - 740		39 39	20				
Bottom of Boring = 26.5 feet Notes: Stratification is approximate, variations must be expected. Blowcounts converted to SPT N-values. See Report for additional details.			- - 30 - - - -	- - - 735 - - -							
				EX	PL	OR/	\Т0	RY	BO	RIN	IG LOG
Stevens, Ferrone & Concord, CA Tel: 925-688 Fay: 925-688	Pass Cou 94520 -1001 3-1005	ırt		ł	- <u>-</u>	LVIE	W D Dar	RIV	E LA e, C/		SLIDE
	5-1000		PROJ	ECT N	0.			DAT	E		BORING NO.
Engineering Company, Inc.			76	68-1			Ju	ne 2	2017		SFB-3

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Investigation

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Investigation

Our laboratory testing program for the proposed Hillview Drive slope repair project in Danville, California was directed toward a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the physical and mechanical properties of the soils underlying the site.

The natural water content was determined on sixteen samples of the subsurface soils. The water contents are recorded on the boring logs at the appropriate sample depths.

Dry density determination was performed on thirteen samples of the subsurface soils to evaluate their physical properties. The results of the tests are shown on the boring logs at the appropriate sample depths.

Unconfined compression test was performed on eight relatively undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils to evaluate the undrained shear strengths of these materials. Failure was taken as the peak normal stress. The results of the tests are presented on the boring logs at the appropriate sample depths and are also attached to this appendix.

Gradation and hydrometer tests were performed on six samples of the subsurface soils. These tests were performed to assist in the classification of the soils and to determine their grain size distribution. The results of the tests are presented on the boring logs at the appropriate sample depths and are also attached to this appendix.

Laboratory compaction tests were performed on two representative samples of the onsite soils to determine the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of these materials. The compaction tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D1557, latest edition. The results of the tests are attached to this appendix.

Consolidated drained direct shear tests (ASTM D3080) were performed on a set of remolded samples of the onsite fill materials. The results of the tests are attached to this appendix.

Drained fully softened peak (ASTM D7608) and residual (ASTM D6467) torsional shear tests were performed on a set of remolded samples of the onsite fill materials. The results of the tests are attached to this appendix.



Atterberg Limits Test - ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project Nu	mber:	768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive Land	slide	
Sample Nu	umber:	SFB-1	Description:	Gray brown silty CL	AY some sand (C	CH)
Depth:	6 ~ C		Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Atterberg Limits Test – ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project Nur	nber:	768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive Land	slide	
Sample Nu	mber:	SFB-1	Description:	Gray brown silty CL	AY trace sand (Cl	H)
Depth: 1	6Ác		Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Atterberg Limits Test - ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project Numbe	er: 768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive	Landslide	
Sample Numbe	er: SFB-2	Description:	Olive gray brow	wn silty CLAY some s	and (CH)
Depth: 11 ~	;	Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Atterberg Limits Test - ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project Nu	ımber:	768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive Land	slide	
Sample N	umber:	SFB-2	Description:	Gray brown silty CL	AY some sand (C	CH)
Depth:	21Ác		Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Atterberg Limits Test - ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project Nu	mber:	768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive Land	slide	
Sample Nu	umber:	SFB-3	Description:	Gray brown silty CL	AY trace sand (C	H)
Depth:	6 ft		Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Atterberg Limits Test - ASTM D4318









Hydrometer Analysis - ASTM D422

Project N	umber:	768-1	Project Name:	Hillview Drive Land	slide	
Sample N	umber:	SFB-3	Description:	Gray brown silty CL	AY (CH)	
Depth:	16 ft		Test Date:	05-01-17	Tested By:	R





Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-1

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial			
Measurements			
Diameter	2.42 in		
Initial Area	4.60 in ²		
Initial Length	5 in		
Volume	0.01331 ft ³		
Water Content	29.6		
Wet Density	118.4 pcf		
Dry Density	91.4 pcf		

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength		
Elapsed Time	3 min		
Vertical Dial	0.15 in		
Strain	3.0 %		
Area	0.03293 ft ²		
Axial Load	89.7 lbs		
Compressive Strength	2,724 psf		

Depth: 26 ft Date: 4/26/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-2

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Olive gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial			
Measurements			
Diameter	2.42 in		
Initial Area	4.60 in ²		
Initial Length	5 in		
Volume	0.01331 ft ³		
Water Content	28.2		
Wet Density	120.8 pcf		
Dry Density	94.2 pcf		

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength		
Elapsed Time	10 min		
Vertical Dial	0.5 in		
Strain	10.0 %		
Area	0.03549 ft ²		
Axial Load	94.7 lbs		
Compressive Strength	2,668 psf		

Depth: 11 ft Date: 4/26/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-2

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial			
Measurements			
Diameter	2.42 in		
Initial Area	4.60 in ²		
Initial Length	5.03 in		
Volume	0.01339 ft ³		
Water Content	25.5		
Wet Density	120.9 pcf		
Dry Density	96.3 pcf		

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength		
Elapsed Time	6.5 min		
Vertical Dial	0.325 in		
Strain	6.5 %		
Area	0.03415 ft ²		
Axial Load	78.1 lbs		
Compressive Strength	2,287 psf		

Depth: 16 ft Date: 4/26/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-2

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial			
Measurements			
Diameter	2.42 in		
Initial Area	4.60 in ²		
Initial Length	5.03 in		
Volume	0.01339 ft ³		
Water Content	27.5		
Wet Density	120.4 pcf		
Dry Density	94.4 pcf		

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength		
Elapsed Time	7 min		
Vertical Dial	0.35 in		
Strain	7.0 %		
Area	0.03433 ft ²		
Axial Load	98.1 lbs		
Compressive Strength	2,857 psf		

Depth: 21 ft Date: 4/26/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-2

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial			
Measurements			
Diameter	2.42 in		
Initial Area	4.60 in ²		
Initial Length	4.93 in		
Volume	0.01312 ft ³		
Water Content	29.8		
Wet Density	118.8 pcf		
Dry Density	91.6 pcf		

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength						
Elapsed Time	5.5 min						
Vertical Dial	0.275 in						
Strain	5.6 %						
Area	0.03383 ft ²						
Axial Load	83.1 lbs						
Compressive Strength	2,456 psf						

Depth: 26 ft Date: 4/27/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-3

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY trace sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial						
Measure	Measurements					
Diameter	2.42 in					
Initial Area	4.60 in ²					
Initial Length	5 in					
Volume	0.01331 ft ³					
Water Content	22.8					
Wet Density	126.9 pcf					
Dry Density	103.3 pcf					

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength						
Elapsed Time	2 min						
Vertical Dial	0.1 in						
Strain	2.0 %						
Area	0.03260 ft ²						
Axial Load	113.1 lbs						
Compressive Strength	3 <i>,</i> 470 psf						

Depth: 6 ft Date: 4/27/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-3

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY some sand (CH)



Soil Specimen Initial						
Measure	Measurements					
Diameter	2.42 in					
Initial Area	4.60 in ²					
Initial Length	5 in					
Volume	0.01331 ft ³					
Water Content	24.2					
Wet Density	126.5 pcf					
Dry Density	101.9 pcf					

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength						
Elapsed Time	2.5 min						
Vertical Dial	0.125 in						
Strain	2.5 %						
Area	0.03276 ft ²						
Axial Load	131.4 lbs						
Compressive Strength	4,011 psf						

Depth: 11 ft Date: 4/27/2017



Project Number: 768-1 Boring #: SFB-3

Project Name: Hillview Drive Landslide

Description: Gray brown silty CLAY (CH/MH)



Soil Specimen Initial						
Measure	Measurements					
Diameter	2.42 in					
Initial Area	4.60 in ²					
Initial Length	5 in					
Volume	0.01331 ft ³					
Water Content	21.3					
Wet Density	129.8 pcf					
Dry Density	107.0 pcf					

Max Unconfined

Compressiv	Compressive Strength						
Elapsed Time	1.5 min						
Vertical Dial	0.075 in						
Strain	1.5 %						
Area	0.03243 ft ²						
Axial Load	136.4 lbs						
Compressive Strength	4,206 psf						

Depth: 16 ft Date: 4/27/2017



Compaction Curve – ASTM D1557

Engineering Company, Inc	c						150 –	
Project Num	ber: 768-1	Pro Lar	oject Name: ndslide	Hillview I	Drive	Sample #: S-1		
Source of Ma	aterial: Onsit	te				Date: 04-25-17	145 –	
Description:	Gray brown s	silty CLAY sor	ne sand (CL)			Tested By: R		\mathbf{M}
							140	
		Test Ma	athod				140	
Method A	<u>/"</u>		$a_{\rm NO} < 25\%$ r	otainad	E			
Mothod P	4 // M	101010/ #4 31	eve < 25% r	otained			135 —	
Method C	4 IVI	1010/ 3/0 31	eve > 25% for					
Deek Corre	otion 2/4" S	$\frac{10107}{1000} > 507$	eve > 20% m				120	
ROCK COTTE	Clion 3/4 S	sieve > 5%	and < 30% n	etamed	63		130 -	Zero Air
							<u> </u>	Voids
							8 125 -	
Tri	ial Numbor	1	2	2	٨			
			2	3	4		ity	
Wet De	ensity (pcf)	123.1	128.1	128.9	127.4		<u></u> 20 –	
			10 5	45.4	40.4		Oe	
Moisture C	ontent (%)	11.3	13.5	15.4	18.1		> 115	
Dry De	ensity (pcf)	110.6	112.9	111.7	107.9)		
2	, (1)							
							110 –	<u>SC - 270</u>
								36-2.70
Maxir		ensity (nof)	113				105	
IVIAAII		ensity (per)					100	SG = 2.65
Optimum	Moisture C	Content (%)	14					
							100 -	
							05	
							55	
							90	
							0	5 10 15 20 25 30 35

Water Content (%)



Consolidated Drained Direct Shear (ASTM D3080)

APPENDIX C Logs of Previous Borings by Others

	BORIN	G LOG		
JOB NUMBER:	1358.102	DATE DRI	LLED:	12/15/87
JOB NAME:	Vista Tassajara	SURFACE	ELEVATION:	+814 Feet
DRILL RIG:	Method: Rotary Wash	DATUM:	Contra Cos	ta County
SAMPLER TYP	E:	DRIVE WEIGHT LB	HEIGHT OI	F FALL – IN
2.5" I.D. S	plit Barrel	140		30

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
				СН	CLAY, dark gray, moist, soft
44	22.5	93	5	CL/ CH	SILTY CLAY, dark orange-brown, moist, very stiff, with calcareous deposits
35			10		yellow-brown
64	26.8	97	 15	CL/ ML	CLAYEY SILT, blue-green and orange-brown mottled, moist, very stiff
45			20		CLAYSTONE, orange-brown, sheared, crushed, highly weathered, with randomly-oriented soft clay partings - landslide debris

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

BORING LOG _____

JOB NUMBER:	SHEET: OF:
JOB NAME:Vista Tassajara	DEPTH: TO 33' 9"

NOTES:

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
					Slide surface at 22 feet
50/ 3"	33.7	88	25.		CLAYSTONE, gray-brown, hard, crushed, highly weathered
			-		dark gray, moderately weathered
30/ 6"	20.7	107	30-		
					blue-gray
					Terminal depth of boring: 33 feet 9 inches Piezometer installed to 33 feet
			-		
		FOTECH		CONSI	

Vista Tassajara PROJECT PROJECT ______Pitcher Dritting ______ DRILLING COMPANY _____Rotary Wash

BORING NO JOB NO	1358.102.1
DATE BEGUN	
DATE COMPLETED	
DEPTH OF HOLE	
NUMBER OF CORE BOXES	
LOGGED BY R. Skinner	

RUN NO.	DRILL RATE (Min./Ft)	CUT	RECOVERED	% REC.	DRILLING FLUID LOSS	RQD (%)	DЕРТН	POJ	DESCRIPTION
							2 -	СН	SILTY CLAY, dark brown, moist to wet, stiff, high plasticity Augered from 0 to 4 feet Set 5" diameter casting to 4 feet
	¥2				0%		4	CL	2.5" I.D. drive sample at 4 feet, 16 blows/ft. SILTY CLAY, brown, moist, stiff, low plasticity - landslide debris
	¥2						6		Began rotary wash drilling at 4 feet with 4 7/8" diameter drill bit
	½ ½ ½						8		2½™ diameter drive sample at 9 feet, 45 blows/ft.
	1 2 1 1						12		Grading very stiff SILTSTONE, light brown, friable to weak, highly fractured, highly weathered (Qls) 2.5" diameter drive sample at 14 feet, 50 blows/3"

RUN NO.			RECOVERED	% REC.		RQD (%)	DEPTH	DOJ	DESCRIPTION
	1½				0%			=	SILTSTONE, light brown, friable to weak, highly fractured, highly weathered - landslide debris
	1½								
							13 -		
	1½								Clay seam in sample
							-		2.5" diameter drive sample at 19 feet, 50 blows/6"
	1						20 -		
	1½								
	1½						- 22 —		
							-		
	1½							<u></u> .	SILTY CLAY, brown, wet stiff high plasticity possible
	1½						-	· · · ·	stide plane
1 1 4	1½								2.5" diameter drive sample at 24 feet, blows 50/4"
-							26 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SANDSTONE, light brown, friable, highly fractured, highly weathered - landslide debris
	1½						1 1 1 1		
	1%						28		CLAYSTONE, brown, friable, highly fractured, some
- 	11/								clay seams, highly weathered - landslide debris
 -1 -	2½	2.5	1.5	60	0%	0	 		Began pitcher barrel sampling at 20 foot
							30-		
- 	2½						ן ה ה ה		
_2 	4	2.5	2.4	96	0 %	0	32		

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

.

.

RUN NO.	DRILL RATE (Min /F+)	CUT	RECOVERED	% REC.	DRILLING FLUID LOSS	RQD (%)	DEPTH	5001	DESCRIPTION
	4				0%				CLAYSTONE, mottled light gray and brown, friable, crushed, highly sheared, highly weathered, abundant clay seams - landslide debris
	4 4 4	2.5	1.7	68	0%	0	34		
 	6	2.5	2.4	96	0%	0			Bedding dip 20"
	9						38 - - - - -		SILTSTONE, brown, weak, moderately fractured, moderately weathered, sandy Slickensided surface, dip 17° Interpreted slide plane at 38% feat
	6	2.5	2.3	92	0%	0	 - - 40		
	4 1 1 1 1½ 3	2.5	2.4	96	0%	0			Rotary wash drilling 41½ to 46 feet SILTSTONE, gray, weak, moderately fractured, unweathered, sandy
-	3						48 1		

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

.

RUN NO.	DRILL RATE (Min./Ft)	αŢ	RECOVERED	% REC.	S DRILLING	RQD (%)	DEPTH	гос	DESCRIPTION SILTSTONE, gray, weak, moderately fractured, unweathered.
	1				0%		50		Rotary wash drilling from 48½ to 53 feet CLAYSTONE, dark gray, friable to weak crushed, moderately sheared, unweathered
7 7 	3 3 3	2.5	100	0%	0	0	54 _		
									Total depth 55% feet Installed piezometer to 53 feet

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

	BORIN	G LOG		
JOB NUMBER:	1358.102			12/14/87
JOB NAME:	Vista Tassajara			+786 Feet
DRILL RIG:	Method: Rotary Wash	DATUM:	Contra Cos	ta County
SAMPLER TYP 2.5" I.D. S	E: plit Barrel	DRIVE WEIGHT – LB HEIGHT OF FALL		
·······				

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
22	24.7	97	5	CL/ ML	CLAYEY SILT, yellow-brown, soft to stiff, moist
60/ 6"			10-		SILTSTONE, orange-brown, argillaceous, crushed sheared, highly weathered - landslide debris
95/ 10"	24.5	94	15-		CLAYSTONE, dark yellow-brown, crushed, sheared, highly weathered with randomly oriented thin bands of gray claystone - landslide debris
60/ 6"			20-		

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

. A

BORING LOG _____

JOB NUMBER: .	1358.102	SHEET:	2	OF : <u>3</u>
JOB NAME:	Vista Tassajara	DEPTH:	20'	TO

NOTES:

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
					SILTSTONE, continued
100 9"	/24.5	97	25- -		brown to dark yellow-brown
/8/ 6"			30		randomly-oriented soft clay partings
907 6"			35		
					Slide surface at 38½ feet
90/ 6"	21.8	104	40		SILTSTONE, dark gray, hard, crushed, moderately weathered, argillic

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

	BC	RING LOGB-10		
JOB NUMBER:	1358.102	SHEET:	3 OF: <u>3</u>	
JOB NAME:	Vista Tassajara	DEPTH:	40' το <u>57'</u>	,

NOTES:

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
96, 6"			45		RHYOLITIC TUFF BED, 3/4 inch thick at 44½ feet
50, 4"			50		CLAYSTONE, light yellow-gray, hard, crushed moderately weathered, tuffaceous
100/			55		SILTSTONE, light gray, very hard, moderately weathered, tuffaceous
1"					Terminal depth of boring: 57 feet Piezometer installed to 57 feet

	BORING	B LOG	
JOB NUMBER:	1358.102	DATE DRILLED:	12/16/87
JOB NAME:	Vista Tassajara	SURFACE ELEVA	TION: +728 Feet
DRILL RIG:	Method: Rotary Wash	DATUM: ^{Cont}	ra Costa County
SAMPLER TYPE	E:	DRIVE WEIGHT - LB HEI	GHT OF FALL - IN
2.5" I.D. S	plit Barrel	140	30

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
38	19.5	96	5	СН	CLAY, dark gray-brown, moist, very stiff
55			10	CL/ CH	SILTY CLAY, brown, moist, stiff CLAYSTONE, tan, sheared, crushed, highly weathered, with randomly-oriented soft clay partings - landslide debris
82	24.1	100	15		Slide_surface_at_115 feet SILTSTONE, gray-brown, hard, crushed, highly weathered, argillic
90			Ц 20		CLAYSTONE, brown, hard, crushed, highly weathered

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

BORING LOG

JOB NUMBER:	SHEET: OF: 2	OF : <u>2</u>		
JOB NAME:Vista Tassajara	DEPTH: TO 6"			
NOTES:				

BLOWS PER FT.	MOISTURE CONTENT %	DRY UNIT WEIGHT p.c.f.	DEPTH IN FEET	USCS CLASSI- FICATION	DESCRIPTION
50/ 6"	21.3	105	25		CLAYSTONE, continued
68/ _ <u>6"</u>				+	
					Terminal depth of boring: 27 feet 6 inches Piezometer installed to 27 feet
			-		

BERLOGAR GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS

APPENDIX D

Slope Stability Analysis Results

	Gamma	С	Phi	Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Existing Fill	120	0	25.9	1	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Section A
						Back Calculation - Plane 1
						With Groundwater at 5' Deep

F = 0.992

	Gamma	Gamma C		Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Existing Fill	120	0	25.2	1	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Section A
						Back Calculation - Plane 2
						With Groundwater at 5' Deep

	Gamma	С	Phi	Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Exisitng Fill	120	0	24.7	1	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Section A
						Back Calculation - Plane 3
						With Groundwater at 5' Deep

	Gamma	a C	Phi	Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Rebuilt Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Existing Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Section A
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Slope Repair without Groundwater
						Static

	Gamma C		Phi	Piezo	o Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Rebuilt Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Existing Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Section A
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Slope Repair without Groundwater
Seismic coefficient = 0.25						Pseudo-Static

	Gamma	a C	Phi	Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Rebuilt Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Existing Fill	120	100	26	1	0	Section A
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Slope Repair with Groundwater in Existing Fill
						Static

	Gamma	С	Phi	Piezo	Ru	Stevens Ferrone & Bailey Engineering Co. Inc.
	pcf	psf	deg	Surf.		SFB 768-1
Rebuilt Fill	120	100	26	0	0	Hillview Drive Landslide, Danville, CA
Existing Fill	120	100	26	1	0	Section A
Bedrock	125	100	30	0	0	Slope Repair with Groundwater in Existing Fill
Seismic coefficient = 0.25						Pseudo-Static

